

The Rigour of Proof

SIGMAA, on the philosophy of mathematics
Baltimore, January 2019

Michèle Friend,
Department of Philosophy
George Washington University

Table of contents for talk: The Rigour of Proof

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism			
Constructivism			
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects		
Constructivism			
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	
Constructivism			
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism			
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism	Objects and truth in mathematics are constructed from the human mind		
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism	Objects and truth in mathematics are constructed from the human mind	Preservation of knowledge	
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism	Objects and truth in mathematics are constructed from the human mind	Preservation of knowledge	Ensure the preservation of knowledge
Pluralism			

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism	Objects and truth in mathematics are constructed from the human mind	Preservation of knowledge	Ensure the preservation of knowledge
Pluralism	Mathematics consists in a plurality of foundations, methodologies, theories, applications and background philosophies		

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism	Objects and truth in mathematics are constructed from the human mind	Preservation of knowledge	Ensure the preservation of knowledge
Pluralism	Mathematics consists in a plurality of foundations, methodologies, theories, applications and background philosophies	Proofs serve several purposes	

For the pluralist, proofs serve several purposes

Proofs guarantee truth *within* a theory.

Proofs guarantee truth *of* a theory from the perspective of a meta-theory.

Proofs guarantee the preservation knowledge, given what is thought to be already known, or is taken to be known.

For the pluralist, proofs serve several purposes

Proofs guarantee truth *within* a theory.

Proofs guarantee truth *of* a theory from the perspective of a meta-theory.

Proofs guarantee the preservation knowledge, given what is thought to be already known, or is taken to be known.

But now consider: for some theorems there are several non-equivalent proofs – equivalent in the result, but not in the methodology/ approach.

For the pluralist, proofs serve several purposes

Proofs guarantee truth *within* a theory.

Proofs guarantee truth *of* a theory from the perspective of a meta-theory.

Proofs guarantee the preservation knowledge, given what is thought to be already known, or is taken to be known.

But now consider: for some theorems there are several non-equivalent proofs – equivalent in the result, but not in the methodology/ approach.

The pluralist accounts for this by saying that proofs also help us to *understand* mathematics through the careful work of proving.

Now what of the rigour of proof for the pluralist?

Definition: A rigorous proof is one in which every step of the proof is *accounted for in the right way*.

Now what of the rigour of proof for the pluralist?

Definition: A rigorous proof is one in which every step of the proof is *accounted for in the right way*.

Accounting: every step is either a definition, an axiom, a theorem; a premise – borrowed lemma, theorem from another (rigorous) proof – or follows from the above by reference to a rule of inference.

Now what of the rigour of proof for the pluralist?

Definition: A rigorous proof is one in which every step of the proof is *accounted for in the right way*.

Accounting: every step is either a definition, an axiom, a theorem; a premise – borrowed lemma, theorem from another (rigorous) proof – or follows from the above by reference to a rule of inference.

What is common to the above is that they are all self-justifying or can be traced to something that is self-justifying. Self-justification is justification in terms of meaning.

Justification in terms of meaning

There are (at least) three different ideas of what 'meaning' means— the realist idea, the constructivist idea and the pluralist.

Justification in terms of meaning

There are (at least) three different ideas of what 'meaning' means— the realist idea, the constructivist idea and the pluralist.

The realist thinks of 'meaning' as something that we (happen to) grasp. It is independent of our language, symbols or mind.

Justification in terms of meaning

There are (at least) three different ideas of what 'meaning' means– the realist idea, the constructivist idea and the pluralist.

The realist thinks of 'meaning' as something that we (happen to) grasp. It is independent of our language, symbols or mind.

The constructivist thinks of meaning as a construction, but the construction has to start somewhere. A self-justifying axiom (etc...) is one that takes no further justification.

Justification in terms of meaning

There are (at least) three different ideas of what 'meaning' means– the realist idea, the constructivist idea and the pluralist.

The realist thinks of 'meaning' as something that we (happen to) grasp. It is independent of our language, symbols or mind.

The constructivist thinks of meaning as a construction, but the construction has to start somewhere. A self-justifying axiom (etc...) is one that takes no further justification.

The pluralist thinks of meaning as *relative to* a formal context and language. It is ordinal not cardinal. No further justification is forthcoming. It has reached a temporary stability that contributes to understanding. It follows that meaning changes over time and people.

Table of contents

Position	Characterisation	Proof	Rigour in Proof
Realism	Mind independent conception of truth and objects	Preservation of truth	Guarantee the preservation of truth
Constructivism	Objects and truth in mathematics are constructed from the human mind	Preservation of knowledge	Ensure the preservation of knowledge
Pluralism	Mathematics consists in a plurality of foundations, methodologies, theories, applications and background philosophies	Proofs serve several purposes	Rigour comes in degrees and can be appropriate or inappropriate, 'appropriateness' is a normative judgement

Thank-you for your attention.

michele@gwu.edu